

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/635,504	PURCELL, D. GLENN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Maureen M. Wallenhorst	1743	

All Participants:

(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) John Gatz (Appl. Rep.).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 August 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

2, 28, 33, 40, 42, 44

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Various amendments to the claims were agreed upon in order to fix grammatical errors, provide further clarification, and place the application in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)